# COMPUTING HONOURS PROJECT (COMP10034)

# Marking Sheet for Interim Reports

Please mark the Interim Reports out of 100%.

**Assessment of the Interim Report**

|  |
| --- |
| **Student Name: Julia Gongola Banner ID: B00402569**  **Campus: Paisley**  **The marking scheme for the Interim Report is as follows:**    Background/Literature Review and Referencing 20 **/30**  Preliminary Work/Creative Accomplishments 20 **/30**  Progress and Further Planned Work 18 **/30**  Structure, Presentation, Style of the Report 6 **/10**  **Agreed Mark with the Moderator: 64 (out of 100%)** |
| **Student Feedback (Supervisors only)**  *Please write a feedback response to your student which indicates the aspects of their performance in the project that you can identify as needing improved along with a suggested strategy that the student can follow to make the necessary improvements.*  Whilst the similarity rating for this submission is high it appears to be picking up the elements of the student's first submission and/or multiple small breaches of the type common when using technical language and so have been ignored. It may, however, have contributed to a lower mark. In some places the lack of formal referencing may have contributed to the issue. You may have to go back to the student materials and ensure that you read, understand, and apply the referencing rules.  Abstract: A more detailed explanation of how the proposed technologies and features will specifically address the project's goals could further enhance this section.  Be sure to use formal language rather than marketing style speak.  Be sure to re-read your submission for errors, completed arguments, disjointed narrative, etc.  It would be helpful to have progressed further with implementation.   Be sure to use the UWS approved referencing system – CTR Harvard.   The technical review feels perfunctory and seems to describe other implementation possibilities rather than comparing them.   The future steps section would have benefitted from more detailed planning, including timelines. A more detailed Gantt chart, showing start and end dates for various tasks, would have enhanced clarity.  Directly linking these tasks with the stated research objectives would have better illustrated the workload remaining to achieve the research aim.  While the objectives are broadly stated, they lack specific details on how these goals will be achieved.  Be sure to use the grammar checker, that it is set to British English, and that your presentation is consistent throughout the document.   Statements must be supported. E.g. your initial assertion regarding Python.  Whilst it is clear that you have a detailed knowledge of the system that does not always come over in the report and you may wish to view it through the lens of someone approaching the subject with less experience wishing to make use of your research.  Ensure that your arguments are clear, logical, and supported by the evidence.  Consider adding captions to code extracts. |